) 3
Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/900, 144	MAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eleni Mantis Mercader	3737	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS I herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.3	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this a 5) or other appropriate communication. This application is subject	pplication. If not includ on will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to the after final respo	nse of 08/17/2004.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 28-46.			
3. The drawings filed on <u>09 July 2001</u> are accepted by the l	Examiner.		
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha 2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be sub INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gi 6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") m (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examine Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in	ve been received. ve been received in Application No. documents have been received in thi E" of this communication to file a repl IMENT of this application. mitted. Note the attached EXAMINE ives reason(s) why the oath or decla ust be submitted. erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO er's Amendment / Comment or in the 1.84(c)) should be written on the draw in the header according to 37 CFR 1.12	s national stage applically complying with the relation is deficient. D-948) attached Office action of wings in the front (not the 1(d).	quirements
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the department attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMEN	posit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL T FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGI	. must be submitted. I CAL MATERIAL.	Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. ☐ Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PT)	O-152)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		, ,	,
 3. ★ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No./Mail Date <u>\$/17</u>/oµ 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit 	Paper No./Mail D 3/08), 7. Examiner's Amen	ate dment/Comment	owance
of Biological Material	9. ☐ Other		
		Elevillantist	lerade

Eleni Mantis Mercader Primary Examiner Art Unit 3737

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record does not fairly teach or suggest an optical measuring system wherein the measured signal is a logarithmic difference signal between detection signals. The double patenting rejections are herein withdrawn because the patented claims do not recite this critical limitation.

For clarification purposes, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's comments regarding statutory double patenting being the only anticipatory double patenting rejection. The Examiner respectfully disagrees because according to *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993), when the application claim is broader than the patent claim then the broader claim is anticipated by the more specific claim. Therefore, Applicant's Representative is incorrect in the assertion that the only anticipated double patenting rejection is statutory double patenting and that all obviousness double patenting rejections require the analogous obviousness analysis as a 103 rejection.

However, upon further reconsideration by the Examiner the current claims are narrower or more specific in scope in view of the limitation regarding the logarithmic difference signal between detection signals of the detectors as argued by Applicant's Representative in the After-Final Response. As such the double patenting rejections are withdrawn.

Conclusion

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Maki et al.'909 teaches an optical system for measuring metabolism in the body.

Application/Control Number: 09/900,144

Art Unit: 3737

3. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Eleni Mantis Mercader whose telephone number is 703 308-

0899. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 8:00 a.m.-6:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (703) 308-3552. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eleni Mantis Mercader Primary Examiner

Elwillarti Mercader

Page 3

Art Unit 3737

EMM